

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,763	12/30/2003	Michael D. O'Shea	KCX-731 (19567)	2711	
22827 7590 99/02/2008 DORITY & MANNING, P.A. POST OFFICE BOX 1449			EXAMINER		
			MYHRE, JAMES W		
GREENVILLE, SC 29602-1449			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3688	3688	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/02/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)			
O'SHEA ET AL.	O'SHEA ET AL.		
Art Unit			
3688			
_	Art Unit		

	JAMES W. MYHRE	3688				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period to the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a)☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b)☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	he issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	of Con attached Nation of Nam Co.		DTOL 204)			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		inpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed amendment of the proposed ame		I be entered and an e	xplanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19 and 21-27</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:						
	L1A	ames W Myhre/				
	Supervisory Patent Evan					

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the argument in reference to what is a "physical parameter" that is being measured is non-persuasive. Applicant points out that the specification gives an example of a physical parameter as being the weight of the product. However, this is given as an example, not an explicit limitation or definition. Measuring physical parameters, such as the dimensions of an item, using video imagery, is well known and is used by Sloane to determine if the measured product corresponds to the scanned product ber code.